

Role of Climate Variability and Land-Use on Fire Emissions in the 21^{rst} Century: Implications for the Global Carbon Cycle

John Worden¹, Anthony Bloom¹, Yi Yin², Zhe Jiang³, Helen Worden⁴, Kevin Bowman¹, Junjie Liu¹, Sassan Saatchi¹, and David Schimel¹

- 1) Jet Propulsion Laboratory / California Institute of Technology
- 2) California Institute of Technology
- 3) USTC
- 4) National Center for Atmospheric Research

©2018 California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged Funded by NASA ROSES Carbon Cycle and ROSES Aura Science Team

Changes in carbon fluxes as inferred by the Global Carbon Project

Le Quere GMD 2015

Land Use Emissions decreased by ~0.2 PgC/yr Land sink increased by about 1 PgC/yr since 2000.

The ocean sink is thought to be increasing, reflecting a balance between decreasing CO₂ capacity and increasing biological activity





What is the role of reduced burning during the 21rst century on the global carbon budget?



Reduced biomass burning is observed in the tropics as a result of shifting agricultural practices \rightarrow increased fragmentation of landscapes in all tropical regions but also reduced logging in S. America due to policy. Short and long-term shifts in precipitation also play a role Andela and van der Werf, Nat. Clim. Ch. 2014;Andela et al., Science 2017

What is the role of reduced burning during the 21rst century on the global carbon budget?



- CO₂ ~ 7300 Tg / yr
- CH₄ ~ 16 Tg/ yr
- CO ~ 350 Tg / yr
- Total Carbon ~ 2.1 PtG C/ yr
- Emissions estimates depend on Burnt area, Fuel loads, and combustion completeness
- (e.g. van der Werf et al. 2010, 2017, Giglio et al., 2006, 2009, 2010)

Quantify CO₂ emissions using CO based observations



Use MOPITT CO data and the 4-D Variational GEOS-Chem model to quantify CO emissions

Jiang et al. JGR 2013; Bloom et al. GRL 2015; Jiang et al. 2017; Worden et al., 2017

Prior Emissions





Anthropogeic

Biomass burning

MOPITT Column



x 1E10 molec/cm2/s

Trends in CO₂ from fires





Fig. 1 Satellite observations show a declining trend in fire activity across the world's tropical and temperate grassland ecosystems and land-use frontiers in the Americas and Southeast Asia. (A) mean annual burned area and (B) trends in burned area (GFED4s, 1998 through 2015). Line plots (inset indicate global burned area and trend distributions by fractional tree cover (28).

CO and CO₂ emissions decline until 2015 are in same region as burnt area but different magnitudes \rightarrow larger decreases than expected in S. America, Indonesia, N. Africa and Siberia.

Different combusted biomass amount and combustion efficiencies likely e.g. Bloom et al., GRL 2015

How does climate variability and human (land-use) affect fire emissions and trends?



A model driven by climate related parameters (temperature, precipitation, VPD) suggests that fire emissions should strongly increase by nearly ~ 0.6 PtG C / yr. We observe that fire emissions decrease by ~0.2 PtG C / yr indicating that human effects have decreased fire-based carbon emissions by ~0.8 PtG C / yr likely due to changes in agricultural practices and policy

What is the total effect of decreased burned area on carbon emissions? "If its not burning than its growing"

Use the CARDAMOM diagnostic ecosystem model which assimilates burnt area, CO emissions, LAI, and biomass to investigate direct and indirect effects of reduced burning (Bloom et al. PNAS, 2016)



Total direct emissions of carbon reduced by about 0.2 PgC/yr

But combination of direct and indirect effects of reduced burning (modeled by CARDAMOM) is nearly 1 PgC / yr



The combination of direct reduction of emissions and indirect effects (less burning means more growing) is ~1. PgC/yr

~1. PgC/yr comparable to combined change in land-sink and land-use flux during this same time period

2015 El Nino (temporarily?) negates downward trend

Summary

Climate variability (changes in precipitation, temperature, and water vapor deficit) would likely have increased carbon emissions by about 0.6 PtG C / yr. Instead biomass burning emissions decreased ~0.2 PtG C / yr suggesting human related reductions in biomass burning had a direct impact of approximately 0.8 PtG C / yr

The "indirect" emissions were even larger (if its not burning its growing), suggesting that fires are a substantial component of the observed changes in tropical carbon fluxes over the last 15 years.

The total indirect + direct effect is ~1 PtG C / yr and is comparable to the inferred change in land-use + land sink of ~ 1.2 PtG C/yr

Follow on studies: What is role of nutrient cycling on "indirect effect": Assimilate new biomass maps to test decadal growth rates estimates after fire disturbance

Bring in XCO₂ constraints on Net Biome Exchange (NBE) and SIF constraints on GPP in order to better partition the respiration components from the fires.

Summary

Changes in global fire characteristics resulted in ~0.2 PtG/yr reduction in direct emissions and between 0.2 to 1.0 PtG/yr in "indirect" emissions, suggesting that fires are a substantial component of the observed changes in tropical carbon fluxes over the last 15 years. These changes are primarily thought to be due to land-scape fragmentation and policy (Andela et al., 2017).

Follow on studies:

What is role of nutrient cycling on "indirect effect": Assimilate new biomass maps to test decadal growth rates estimates after fire disturbance

How much of this change is due to human effects and how much is due to short/long term climate variability? (Train fire variability against TRMM/GRACE data)





GRACE data show (2002-2014) increased water storage despite increasing droughts (Reager et al., Science 2015)

Case Study: Comparison of 2010 and 2007 Amazon fires Bloom, Worden, Jiang et al. GRL 2015



Burnt area ~5% larger but CO emissions ~30% lower

- We combine land-surface and CO measurements constrain 2007 and 2010 fire emission factors and combusted biomass.
- Likely reason for relative decline in emissions is reduced combustion biomass density (88%) possibly due to decreased GPP in 2010
- African and SE Asia due to shift in agricultural practices / land-use changes?

CO and Biomass Burning CO₂ fluxes

10^{rrr} mol/cm²

40

30 26

24

22

20 18

16

14

12

10

MOPITT Measurements of Pollution in the Atmosphere

MOPITT CO Column -- 201510





• MOPITT provides CO vertical profiles with near surface sensitivity.

• Footprint is 22 km x 22 km



- CO₂ from biomass burning is calculated from CO/CO2 ratios (Andreae and Merlet, GBC, 2001)
- Emission factors are a function of dry mass and burning efficiency
- Uncertainties from burnt-area prior propagated to posterior (based on CO)

Jiang et al., 2017; Bowman et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2017

How do we turn measured top-of-atmosphere radiances to profiles of composition?



kernels needed to test uncertainties and use data with models

Fractional Difference