Implications of Overestimated Anthropogenic CO₂ Emissions on East Asian and **Global Land CO₂ Flux Inversions**

Tazu Saeki^{1*} and Prabir K. Patra²

1) National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Japan; 2) Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), Japan saeki.tazu@nies.go.jp

Summary

Recent inverse modeling studies found a large increase in land CO2 sink over the East Asia region. The inverse models assume emissions due to fossil fuel consumption and cement production (FFC) as a known quantity, and thus the uncertainties in prior FFC emissions may introduce systematic bias in estimation of the natural (residual) fluxes on the East Asia region as well as for other regions.

We estimate the influence of overestimated anthropogenic CO₂ emissions on natural CO₂ sources and sinks estimations and suggest a method for refining East Asian FFC CO₂ emission increase rate using results from inverse modeling of CH₄. By applying a CH₄ emission-based scaling factor, we found that (1) increase rate of bottom-up FFC emission for East Asia has likely been overestimated, (2) no systematic increase in land CO₂ uptake over East Asia during the 2000s, (3) revised land CO₂ fluxes are more consistent with fluxes from global dynamic vegetation models.

1. Introduction

Recent studies on CO₂ and CH₄ budget for East Asia have shown that:

CO ₂ inversion	 Annual sink increase in East Asia by 0.56 (0.30–0.81) PgC between 1996–2001 and 2008–2012 (Thompson et al., 2016) (Fig. 1).
CH₄ inversion	 Bottom-up East Asian anthropogenic emission is over estimated (mostly Chinese coal industry) (Patra et al., 2016)
FFC CO ₂ inventories	• Bottom-up FFC emission inventories have large uncertainty in China. e.g. Guan et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015; Korsbakken et al., 2016

systematic increase in land CO₂ uptake over East Asia may be required.



Fig. 1. Land biosphere fluxes estimated by atmospheric CO₂ inversions (incl. JAMSTEC's ACTM) in East Asia (Thompson et al., 2016)

seven

Here we introduce a new approach to use the CH₄ inversion results to refine the The current study used only surface data for GHG concentrations in inversion analysis, increase rate of bottom-up FFC CO₂ emissions for East Asia, and show that no but the results have equal implications for the use of satellite measurements in an inverse modelling system.

2. East Asian CO₂ balance

1. CH₄ inversion (Patra et al., 2016)

Independent results from CH₄ inversion suggested that increase rate of inverted CH₄ emissions are 22% (9 Tg) lower than that of a EDGAR2012FT inventory during 2002-2012 (Fig. 2a). China anthropogenic emissions alone drives the a priori emission increase for East Asia.

The validation using independent aircraft measurement over Sendai (by Tohoku University; Umezawa et al. 2014) showed that forward simulations with the a priori flux overestimated the observed CH₄ variations but that with the inverted flux showed good agreement.



Fig. 2. (a) Comparisons of CH₄ inversion results (black: a priori; blu a posteriori) for the East Asia region with the EDGAR estimated FFC emissions for China. The linear fits to the annual mean values a shown as lines, with slopes being marked along the fitted lines. (b) Linear relationship of anthropogenic CO_2 and CH_4 emissions for China over the period of 1970-2012 is evident in the emission inventories, e.g., EDGAR42FT.

and

solid

The

fuel

total



3. Global balance

The corrected anthropogenic CO₂ emissions also produce measurable reductions in the rate of global land CO₂ sink increase post-2002, leading to a better agreement with the terrestrial biospheric model simulations by TRENDY (Fig. 5).

Fig. 5. Time series of CO₂ fluxes as estimated by the Global Carbon Project for fossil fuel and cement (FFC), land-use change (LUC), atmospheric burden increase (ABI), oceanic exchange (OCN), residual land biosphere (LND = FFC + LUC - ABI - OCN), ensemble mean land fluxes simulated by the global dynamic vegetation models (DGVMs from TRENDY project) during the period 1999–2014. FFC corrected by CH4 inversion scaling (corFFC) are also shown. Corrected land fluxes (corLND) is based on residuals calculated using corFFC emissions, which show the improved agreement of corLND fluxes with those simulated by the DGVMs.



The presentation is based on: Saeki, T. and Patra, P.K. (2017), Implications of overestimated anthropogenic CO2 emissions on East Asian and global land CO2 flux inversion, Geoscience Letters, 4, 9, doi:10.1186/s40562-017-0074-7.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by Environment Research and Technology Development Funds from the Ministry of the Environment of Japan (2-1401) and the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency (2-1701). We thank all measurement groups for submitting CO_2 concentration data to the obspack-GLOBALVIEW product. We also thank Dr. Ingrid van der Laan-Luijkx (Wageningen U. for providing Carbones and IEA emission map and Dr. M. Kondo and Dr. K. Ichii for processed TRENDY results. TRENDY is a consortium of Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (DGVM) groups (Sitch et al., 2008)



Since the anthropogenic emissions of both CO₂ and CH₄ increase linearly in the emission inventory (Figs. 2b and 3), using the slopes of the fitted lines to East Asian CH₄ emission time series, we find a scaling factor of 0.59 (=1.53/2.61) to correct FFC CO2 emission "increase rate" after 2002.

3. Corrected CO₂ emissions

We apply the scaling factor to FFC CO₂ emission for the period 2003-2014, relative to the emissions for 2002 from GCP inventory (Fig. 4a). When scaled anthropogenic CO₂ emissions are used, we find no systematic increase in land CO2 uptake over East Asia during 1993-2010 or 2000-2009 and that there is apparently a need of higher emission increase rate for 2010-2012 compared to those assumed here (Fig. 4b).



Fig. 4. Effect of FFC CO₂ emission increase rate on regional carbon budget of East Asia. (a) Time series of anthropogenic CO₂ emission scenarios for China for 4 scenarios based on a scaling factor from CH_4 inversion results for East Asia, the economic (GDP) growth, and those estimated by GCP (CDIAC) and IEA emission inventories. (b) Effect of FFC CO₂ emission increase rate on regional carbon budget of East Asia. The mean inversion flux of East Asia (from Thompson et al. 2016) is corrected a posteriori for revised China FFC CO₂ emissions using scaling from CH4 inversion (This work, FFC revised) and that from the IEA (This vork, FFC IEA). The mean CO₂ exchange simulated by TRENDY DGVMs are also shown, confirming no significant increase in carbon uptake in East Asia due to $\rm CO_2$ fertilization and climate (S2 simulation). The forest carbon storage rates based on the country statistics of Land use and land cover to the the U.N. Food and Agriculture Organization - Forest Resource Assessment (FAO-FRA2015; country-level inventory estimates; Calle et al., ERL, 2016) also suggest no significant change in carbon sequestraion in the East Asia region (symbols; different colors are for different data sources)